| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/829,433  | AGNEW, GERARD D.   |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | Louis J. Casaregola   | 3746   |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>   |   |  |
| (1) <u>Louis J. Casaregola</u> .  | (3)   |  |
| (2) Warren Taltavull (attorney).  | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>1/8/08</u>  | Time: <u>9:30 am</u>  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | ant's representative)   |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  Claims discussed: 27,30-32   |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:  |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE<br>See Examiner's Amendment.   | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS  | S DISCUSSED:   |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul> | ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   | •   |  |
| •   |   |  |
| 6. 2. Couryde   |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican  | t/Applicant's Representative Si                                   | gnature – if appropriate)                                  |